G. R. S. 59] (4) GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902
(Police)

File No. 52

Serial Nos. 7 and 13

B
~~**Papers Filed.**~~

Month June

SUBJECT.

Prosecution in cases of infant marriages during the year 1902—03.
(Kanara dist)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Indexed BBShankar

Cl: 13481 J2624
17-6-03 / 1902-1903.

SECTION Police
FILE No. 52 of 02
SER V' N'— 1

From The Resident General's Office in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Dept

Dated 11 June 1903.
Despatched 15 June 1903.
Received
General No. 7229
No. 435

Enclosure No. one file.

Recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in an infant marriage at Kanagode, Nagar Taluk on 9-6-1902.

Secd 17/6

W Meyer 15/6

From,
C. Madiah Esq.: Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To, H.V. Nanjundayya Esq.: M.A.B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of H.H.
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev: Departments.

Dated. 11th June 1903.

No: 13 / 17-229 / 435 Confdl.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a memo and other records connected with the infant marriage of Channaveeramma aged about 7 years 10 months & 26 days, daughter of Channaveerappa at Kanagode Village of the Nagar Taluk and to requisition sanction of Government for the prosecution of the parties concerned in the said marriage

I have The honor tobe,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police

2

1. Name of the bride —— Channaveeramma.
2. " of the bridegroom — Chennabasappa.
3. " of the bride's father — Channaveerappa.
4. " of the bridegroom's mother ~~father~~ } — Siddamma.
5. " of any other party Concerned in the marriage } — Gurusanthia, the Purohit.
6. Age of the bride on the day of marriage } — 7 years, 10 months & 26 days.
7. Age of the bridegroom on the day of marriage } About 13 years.
8. Date of marriage. —— 9. 6. 1902.
9. Names of the village & Taluk where the marriage took place } — Kanagode Village of the Nagar Taluk.
10. Records Connected with the Case. } The entire records connected with the case together with the memo of the Police Superintendent.
11. Persons recommended for prosecution } i Channaveerappa — Brides father.
ii Siddamma — Bridegroom's mother.
iii Gurusanthia — Purohit.
12. Name of the officer recommending the prosecution Under Section 3 of the Infant marriage prevention Regulation } Assistant Superintendent of Police, Shimoga District. Vide his docket No: 716 dated 6-5-03
13. Recommendation made by the Inspector General of Police } It appears to me undesirable that Criminal Prosecutions should be taken against the parties under Infant marriage Regulations as the bride though a minor at the time of the marriage has now passed the age limit
16. Any other remarks. → The cause of the delay in the submission of the records has not been explained by the Police Superintendent. Explanation has been called for.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 52 Offrs

SERIAL No. 13

Read:

Letter No 7229/435

dated 11th June 1903

from the Inspector

General of Police in

Mysore, & stating

that on the 9th June

1903, an infant

girl aged 7 years

6 months and 26 days

named Chennaveeram

-ma daughter of

Chennaveerappa was

married to one Chenn

-easappa, son of

Siddanna, at

Kanagode village,

Nagar Taluk, and

stating that it appears

undesirable to prose-

-cute the parties as

the bride though a

minor at the time

of marriage has now

passed the age limit

No 2090-91/J.7.3603 dt 27.6.03

Ord

Order thereon:—

Govt agree with the Inspector General in considering that no prosecution in this case is desirable, especially as the girl was only a month and 4 days short of the prescribed limit of age, on the day of marriage.

2. There has been a delay of nearly a year in reporting the case, for which the reason for delay should be explained.

20.5.15

24/6/15

Secretary

2o The Inspector Genl of Police in Mysore returning enclos-

The Dy Commr Shimoga

D/-